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# Marketing of Lunchables to children provides a case study of limitations in the Children's 

Food and Beverage Advertising Initiative (CFBAI) industry self-regulatory program. In response to public health concerns about unhealthy food marketing to children, the Council of Better Business Bureaus introduced the CFBAI in 2006. Critics cite limitations of the Initiative that allow companies to continue to market unhealthy foods and beverages to children. For example, CFBAI nutrition standards apply only to the products pictured in media advertising. Furthermore, child-targeted product packaging and other marketing that occurs in stores, including special displays, promotions, and shelf placement, are exempt from CFBAI guidelines.

Kraft Foods joined the CFBAI in 2006 and committed to advertise only healthy dietary choices, including some varieties of Lunchables, directly to children. However, nutrition professionals have raised concerns about high levels of saturated fat and sodium in many Lunchables products. In this report, we document the nutritional quality of all varieties of Lunchables, including those approved and not approved for advertising to children; describe and quantify Lunchables advertising to youth on TV and the internet; and examine placement of different varieties on supermarket shelves. This information will help identify opportunities to improve the effectiveness of the CFBAI in encouraging children to choose more nutritious options.

## RESULTS

In April 2013, Kraft Foods offered five varieties of Lunchables that met CFBAI nutrition standards for foods that could be advertised to children. However, the company also offered 37 varieties that did not meet these standards, including many products that contained candy and sugary drinks. In addition, 12 different varieties were identified as Lunchables with juice on the company website, but none of these products contained $100 \%$ juice. They all contained fruit drinks or flavored waters with added sugar and $10 \%$ or less juice content. Furthermore, in 2013 Kraft Foods introduced UPLOADED varieties of Lunchables that are higher in calories, sodium, and saturated fat than other varieties.

Kraft Foods spent more than $\$ 27$ million to advertise Lunchables in 2012, and children were frequent targets of this advertising. On average, children (ages 6-11) saw more than one Lunchables ad on TV every week, almost five times as many ads compared with adults. On the internet, Lunchables.com averaged more than 43,000 unique child visitors per month. There were almost twice as many child visitors compared with teens, and children were 2.5 times more likely to visit the site compared with visitors of all ages. In addition, approximately 28 million ads for Lunchables were viewed monthly on youth websites such as Disney Channel and Nick.com sites, representing $60 \%$ of all display ads viewed for the product.

In compliance with its CFBAI pledge, advertising for Lunchables only pictured varieties that met Kraft's nutrition standards for foods that can be in childdirected advertising or a Lunchables logo (without identifying a specific variety). However, we also identified several advertising practices that may not comply with industry self-regulatory guidelines. For example, many Lunchables ads also featured a sweepstakes offer, which in some cases was the primary message in the ad. This practice appears to contradict Children's Advertising Review Unit (CARU) guidelines that child-directed advertising must focus primarily on the product being sold. In addition, one variety (Peanut Butter and Jelly Flatbread with fruit) was advertised to children on TV in early 2012, but was reformulated in June and removed from Kraft's list of products approved for child-directed advertising. This ad was cited by CARU for not depicting a balanced meal, and it is likely that children continued to remember the ads and prefer that product. Furthermore, when Lunchables UPLOADED were introduced, access to the UPLOADED section of Lunchables. com appeared on the same page that children visited to access the For Kids
section of the site. Although UPLOADED now has its own URL, children who accessed Lunchables.com to visit the For Kids site may have visited the UPLOADED site instead.

Finally, marketing to children in stores is not addressed by CFBAI guidelines, and placement of Lunchables on supermarket shelves does not encourage sales of the healthier varieties that are advertised to children. Varieties that did not meet Kraft's nutrition standards for foods that can be advertised to children outnumbered varieties that did meet the standards by 6:1. In addition, CFBAI-approved varieties were most likely to be stocked on the top shelves - above eye level of both children and adults - and they were less likely to be stocked on the bottom shelves where children would see them. The less nutritious varieties were most likely to be placed on the bottom and middle shelves.

Although Kraft Foods appears to have complied with its CFBAI pledge to picture only varieties of Lunchables that meet nutrition standards in child-directed advertising, several of the company's marketing practices raise questions about its commitment to encouraging children to choose healthier dietary choices.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

If Kraft truly wants to be part of the solution to improving children's diets and reducing obesity, it should reformulate all varieties of Lunchables to meet CFBAI nutrition standards for foods advertised to children. If the company continues to offer Lunchables that do not meet nutrition standards, it should:

- Stop advertising Lunchables directly to children, including on TV and the internet; and
- Use in-store marketing to increase the presence and visibility of the healthiest varieties of Lunchables, and stop allocating the most prominent placement to varieties that include sugary drinks and candy, and contain high levels of saturated fat and sodium.

Kraft Foods has positioned itself as a leader in product choices and marketing practices that encourage healthy lifestyles and make it easier for families to eat and live better. The company should reformulate its Lunchables products and reform marketing targeted to children to reflect that promise.

## Kraft Foods joined the Children's

## Food and Beverage Advertising

Initiative (CFBAI) in 2006 and committed to advertise only healthier dietary choices including some varieties of Lunchables - directly to children. ${ }^{1}$ However, nutrition professionals
raise concerns about high levels of saturated fat and sodium in

## many Lunchables products. ${ }^{2-4}$

Extensive marketing of calorie-dense, nutritionally poor foods and beverages to children contributes to poor diets, high rates of obesity, and long-term health issues such as type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease. ${ }^{5}$ In response to public health concerns about marketing unhealthy foods to children, the Council of Better Business Bureaus introduced the CFBAI in 2006. ${ }^{6}$ Participating food and beverage companies pledge to market only "healthier dietary choices" in "childdirected advertising." However, public health advocates cite limitations of the Initiative that allow companies to continue to market foods and beverages that are high in fat, sugar, and sodium directly to children. ${ }^{7-9}$

One noted limitation of the CFBAI is that nutrition standards for products that can be advertised to children apply only to the products pictured in media advertising. Therefore, companies may advertise brands with both healthy and unhealthy versions of the product provided that only products meeting nutrition standards or brand logos (without a specific product) are depicted in the ads. Furthermore, child-targeted product packaging and other marketing that occurs in stores, including special displays, promotions, and shelf placement, are exempt from CFBAI guidelines. Participating companies may market any of their products to children in the supermarket, regardless of nutrition quality.

Lunchables are positioned as a convenient and appealing ready-to-eat meal for children, and Kraft Foods has identified some varieties of Lunchables as healthier choices that can be advertised to children. ${ }^{10}$ However, Kraft Foods also offers varieties of Lunchables that do not meet CFBAI nutrition standards. As a result, Lunchables advertising also could serve as brand advertising to encourage sales of all Lunchables products, not just those pictured in ads. The World Health Organization has noted that, worldwide, industry self-regulatory programs on food marketing to children do not address brand advertising. ${ }^{11}$ This analysis provides a case study in how CFBAI companies may use advertising to encourage healthier dietary choices to children when they also offer products that they admit should not be advertised to children.

This report documents Lunchables product nutrition and advertising to children. Our methods include: 1) Analyzing the nutritional quality of all varieties of Lunchables available in April 2013; 2) analyzing syndicated data on advertising spending and exposure to advertising in 2012 from Nielsen and comScore Inc; 3) examining the content of TV advertisements, the Lunchables' website, and internet display advertising; and 4) conducting an audit of shelf placement for the Lunchables display in supermarkets in May / June 2013. We supplemented these analyses by collecting information from the company website and product packaging. A detailed description of the methods used for each of these analyses is available in Appendix $A$. We did not have access to proprietary documents from Kraft Foods, including privately commissioned market research, media and marketing plans, or other strategic documents. Therefore, we do not attempt to interpret the companies' goals or objectives for their marketing practices.

## Results

## In this report, we document the nutritional quality of all varieties of Lunchables; describe and quantify

 advertising to children on TV and the internet; and examine supermarket placement of different varieties.As of April 2013, Kraft offered 42 different varieties of Lunchables in six categories (see Table 1). Three varieties of Lunchables with smoothie and two varieties of

Lunchables with fruit were approved for advertising to children. The largest categories were Lunchables with juice and Lunchables without drink, which represented almost one-half of available varieties.

Of the 37 varieties that were not approved for advertising to children, $45 \%$ contained a sugar-sweetened beverage and $27 \%$ contained beverages with both sugar and artificial sweeteners. Of note, the with juice varieties included a Capri Sun fruit drink with 10\% juice (and the remaining calories from added sugar) or a Roarin' Waters flavored water with 0\% juice. Further, 10 of 12 with juice varieties included candy, such as a Reese's Peanut Butter Cup or Skittles, and 5 of 11 without drink varieties contained cookies. UPLOADED varieties contained larger portions for "more of the stuff kids love," ${ }^{12}$ as well as a bottle of water and a Kool-Aid Singles packet containing a combination of sugar and artificial sweeteners. Snack duos included two smaller "snack" items.

TABLE 1. LUNCHABLES PRODUCT CATEGORIES

| Category | Contents | CFBAI-approved/ <br> Total varieties |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| With smoothie | Entree, dessert, smoothie | $3 / 3$ |
| With fruit* | Entrée, fruit, side item, Capri Sun beverage | $2 / 3$ |
| With juice** | Entrée, dessert, Capri Sun sugary drink | $0 / 12$ |
| UPLOADED | Entrée, side item, dessert, bottled water, Kool-Aid Singles packet | $0 / 7$ |
| Without drink | Entrée with or without cookies | $0 / 11$ |
| Snack duos | Smaller entrée portion with or without cookies; sold in 2-packs | $0 / 6$ |

[^0]
## NUTRITIONAL QUALITY

In April 2013, we collected nutrition information for all varieties of Lunchables listed on Lunchables.com. Appendix Table B1 provides complete nutrition information for all varieties listed. Table 2 presents medians and ranges for calories, estimated added sugar, saturated fat, and sodium for varieties by Lunchables category. We divided the without drink category into varieties with and without cookies due to substantial differences in nutrient content. We also compared each variety to CFBAI nutrition standards for foods that can be advertised to children, including Kraft's Smart Choices criteria that applied to products advertised to children in 2012/2013 ${ }^{13}$ and revised CFBAI uniform category-specific nutrition standards that were implemented in early 2014. ${ }^{14}$

Just five varieties met both 2012/2013 and 2014 CFBAI nutrition standards. One variety of Lunchables with fruit (Peanut Butter and Jelly Flatbread) appeared to meet the 2012/2013 standards, but
not the 2014 standards. The remaining 36 varieties of Lunchables available in April 2013 did not meet either 2012/2013 or 2014 CFBAI nutrition standards.

Table 2 also presents the percent of varieties by category that met criteria for individual nutrients according to Kraft's 2012/2013 CFBAI standards. The majority of varieties exceeded the $10 \%$ limit on calories from saturated fat, and six varieties contained more than double the limit. Furthermore, $57 \%$ of varieties exceeded sodium limits, and four varieties contained over 750 mg , which is more than half the recommended amount of sodium for a child aged 4 to 13 for an entire day. ${ }^{15}$ UPLOADED varieties tended to contain the most sodium and calories - not one met the limit for healthy levels of sodium and $30 \%$ exceeded the calorie limit. Most snack duos also contained high sodium levels for a snack and one-half were high in calories. Added sugar content was high for two-thirds of with juice varieties due to the sugary drink and often candy or other dessert included.


[^1]
## tABLE 2. NUTRIENT CONTENT OF LUNCHABLES CATEGORIES AND PROPORTION MEETING KRAFT'S NUTRITION STANDARDS

 FOR CHILD-DIRECTED ADVERTISING|  | Calories |  |  | Added sugar* (g) |  |  | Sodium (mg) |  |  | Varieties meeting nutrition standards |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lunchables category | Median | Range | \% meeting 2013 criteria | Median | Range | \% meeting 2013 criteria | Median | Range | \% meeting 2013 criteria | Kraft 2013 <br> CFBAI <br> standards | CFBAI 2014 uniform standards |
| With smoothie | 380 | 370-430 | 100\% | 17 | 12-18 | 100\% | 590 | 580-600 | 100\% | 3/3 | 3/3 |
| With fruit | 380 | 370-480 | 100\% | 8 | 7-19 | 100\% | 590 | 330-600 | 100\% | 3/3 | 2/3 |
| With juice | 315 | 230-510 | 100\% | 25 | 17-36 | 17\% | 550 | 480-860 | 67\% | 0 | 0 |
| UPLOADED | 440 | 360-500 | 71\% | 17 | 13-22 | 29\% | 750 | 650-880 | 0\% | 0 | 0 |
| Without drink | 265 | 250-370 | 100\% | 3 | 3-4 | 17\% | 690 | 570-840 | 17\% | 0 | 0 |
| Without drink (with cookies) | 320 | 290-350 | 100\% | 15 | 9-21 | 20\% | 570 | 560-630 | 80\% | 0 | 0 |
| Snack duos | 205 | 130-250 | 50\% | 6.5 | 0-35 | 17\% | 335 | 115-400 | 67\% | 0 | 0 |

*Added sugar was calculated by subtracting the estimated naturally-occurring sugars in fruit, $100 \%$ juice, and dairy products from total sugar reported on the nutrition facts panel,

## TRADITIONAL ADVERTISING

This section analyzes traditional advertising for Lunchables in 2012, including advertising spending in measured media (e.g., TV, radio, outdoor, internet), child and teen exposure to TV advertising, and the content of TV advertisements.

## ADVERTISING SPENDING

Kraft Foods spent $\$ 27.2$ million to advertise Lunchables across all forms of media in 2012 (see Figure 1). More than $70 \%$ was spent on television advertising and onequarter on print ads, including magazines, newspapers, and coupons. Kraft also spent more than $\$ 1$ million on internet ads.

## CHILD-TARGETED TV ADVERTISEMENTS

Five different commercials promoting Lunchables products appeared on television in 2012. Two of these ads promoted the Peanut Butter and Jelly Flatbread with fruit variety and aired during the first half of 2012. However, Kraft reformulated this variety in June 2012, the product was removed from the list of CFBAIapproved products, and these commercials no longer aired after June 2012. Three additional ads promoted the Turkey and Cheddar Sub Sandwich with smoothie variety, which also met Kraft's nutrition standards for foods that could be in child-directed advertising. However, one of these ads focused primarily on Lunchables' Never Be Bored Again sweepstakes. The commercial invited children to play a game for prizes on the Lunchables.com Kid Site and just showed brief shots of the Lunchables product.

Children ages 6 to 11 years viewed on average 57 TV ads for Lunchables in 2012, more than one ad per week (see Table 3). Preschoolers ( $2-5$ years) and teens (12-17 years) viewed somewhat fewer: 40 ads and 30 ads per year, respectively. Compared with adults, children viewed almost five times as many Lunchables ads, while preschoolers viewed more than three times as many ads.

Approximately 20\% of Lunchables ads viewed by preschoolers, children, and teens promoted the Never Be Bored Again sweepstakes; the remaining ads featured one of the CFBAI-approved Lunchables varieties.

FIGURE 1. LUNCHABLES ADVERTISING SPENDING IN ALL MEDIA


TV ADVERTISING FOR LUNCHABLES PRODUCTS AND SWEEPSTAKES


Peanut Butter and Jelly Flatbread with fruit variety


Turkey and Cheddar Sub Sandwich with smoothie variety


TV ad promoting Never Be Bored Again sweepstakes

TABLE 3. LUNCHABLES TV ADS VIEWED BY CHILDREN AND TEENS

|  | All Lunchables ads |  | Ads for sweepstakes |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age group | \# of ads <br> viewed | Targeted ratio <br> (vs. adults) | \# of ads viewed | $\%$ of total ads |
| $2-5$ years | 40.3 | 3.3 | 8.8 | $22 \%$ |
| $6-11$ years | 57.0 | 4.7 | 12.2 | $21 \%$ |
| $12-17$ years | 30.3 | 2.5 | 6.6 | $22 \%$ |

Source: Nielsen (January - December 2012)

## INTERNET ADVERTISING

This section details Lunchables marketing on the internet, including the Lunchables.com website and display advertising for Lunchables on third-party websites. We describe the content of the ads and examine child and teen exposure to both types of marketing

## LUNCHABLES WEBSITE

During April 2013, Kraft's website for the brand (Lunchables.com) linked to three secondary sites: Kid Site designed for children, Parent Site aimed at parents, and Get UPLOADED promoting these larger-sized varieties of Lunchables. The Kid Site included the Lunchables with smoothie logo and only promoted varieties approved for child-directed advertising. The site also promoted child-directed sweepstakes, including Never Be Bored Again (July 2012-January 2013) and Access to Awesome (June 2013-February 2014).

The Get UPLOADED section of Lunchables.com displayed UPLOADED varieties that were not approved for child-directed advertising. This site featured content that was likely to appeal to children, including a celebrity endorsement from reality TV star and professional skateboarder, Rob Dyrdek; branded videos featuring Dyrdek; an option for users to upload photos and videos; and The Real Lunchables Twitter feed. Of note, as of December 2013 the UPLOADED website had a separate URL (LunchablesUPLD.com) and no longer appeared on the Lunchables site home page.

Lunchables.com averaged more than 43,000 unique child visitors per month and 23,000 unique teen visitors in 2012 (see Table 4). Data were not available to measure visitors to the individual secondary websites separately (Kid Site, Parent Site and Get UPLOADED). However, children under 12 were 2.5 times more likely to visit the site overall compared with all visitors (ages $2+$ ), while teens were just $37 \%$ more likely to visit.

TABLE 4. CHILD AND TEEN VISITORS TO LUNCHABLES.COM

| Age group | Average unique visitors <br> per month (000) | Average minutes <br> per visit | Average pages <br> per month | Child: All <br> visitors |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| targeted index |  |  |  |  |$|$| Children (2-11 years) | 43 | 1.1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |

Source: comScore Media Matrix Key Measures Report (January-December 2012)

CHILD-TARGETED ADVERTISING ON LUNCHABLES.COM


Main page for Lunchables.com with access to Kid Site, Parent Site, and Get UPLOADED (April 2013)


Access to Awesome sweepstakes promoted on Lunchables.com Kid Site


QR code on Lunchables packages directed to Lunchables.com Kid Site


## DISPLAY ADVERTISING ON THIRD-PARTY WEBSITES

In addition to promoting Lunchables on Lunchables. com, Kraft also purchased display advertising to promote the product on other companies' websites. Display advertisements that appeared on youth websites (i.e., those visited by a high proportion of youth under 18 years old) depicted Lunchables varieties that were approved for child-directed advertising, as well as ads that focused on Lunchables child-directed sweepstakes. Ads for the Peanut Butter and Jelly Flatbread with fruit no longer appeared on youth websites after June 2012 when it was removed from the list of CFBAI-approved products.

More than 575 million display ads for Lunchables were viewed on other websites in 2012, and over 341 million ( $59 \%$ of the total) were viewed on youth websites (see Table 5). Disney Online sites featured the most display ads for Lunchables (50\% of ads placed on youth websites), followed by Nick.com (23\%), other Nickelodeon Kids and Teens sites (11\%), and Cartoon Network (10\%).

DISPLAY ADS THAT APPEARED ON YOUTH WEBSITES


Ads for CFBAI-approved varieties


Ads for Lunchables sweepstakes



Results

TABLE 5. LUNCHABLES DISPLAY ADS VIEWED ON YOUTH WEBSITES*

| Publisher sites | 2012 total ad views (000) | \% of total display ad views |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Disney Online total | 171,779 | $30 \%$ |
| Disney Channel TV Network | 80,328 |  |
| Disney Channel | 51,284 |  |
| Disney XD | 11,220 |  |
| Disney Games | 12,240 |  |
| Disney Music | 4,882 |  |
| Radio Disney | 4,427 |  |
| Disney Create | 4,101 |  |
| Disney Videos | 1,051 |  |
| Nickelodeon Kids \& Family total | 122,941 |  |
| Nick.com sites | 77,840 |  |
| Nickelodeon Kids \& Teens | 36,776 |  |
| iCarly | 2,929 |  |
| Nickelodeon Casual Games | 2,378 |  |
| Addicting Games | 1,242 |  |
| Cartoon Network | 32,516 |  |
| National Geographic Kids | 9,479 |  |

*Youth websites have a higher than average percentage of 2-17 year olds visiting the website compared with the total internet Source: comScore Ad Metrix Advertisers Report (January-December 2012)

On television and the internet, Lunchables advertising promoted sweepstakes and the Lunchables brand, in addition to CFBAI-approved varieties.

## SUPERMARKET PLACEMENT

To examine where different varieties of Lunchables were stocked on supermarket shelves, we conducted an audit of 50 supermarkets throughout the United States in June 2013. We measured the relative proportion of supermarkets that stocked the healthier varieties of Lunchables (with smoothie and with fruit) versus other varieties and examined the placement of
different categories on bottom, middle, and top shelves. The middle shelf is considered prime placement in the supermarket because products placed there are at eye level for most adults and thus highly visible to shoppers. ${ }^{16}$ For child-targeted products, such as breakfast cereals, the lower shelf is also desirable as products on those shelves are close to eye level for young children. ${ }^{17}$ Figure 2 presents an example of a typical Lunchables display in the supermarket

FIGURE 2. EXAMPLE OF SUPERMARKET SHELF SPACE ALLOCATION BY LUNCHABLES CATEGORY


Approximately three-quarters of available varieties of Lunchables were stocked in $50 \%$ or more of the supermarkets examined (see Appendix Table B2). The nine most common varieties (stocked in $80 \%$ or more of supermarkets) included: Pizza with Pepperoni (with juice and without drink), Turkey and American Cracker Stackers (with juice and without drink), Ultimate Deep Dish Pepperoni Pizza (UPLOADED), Nachos, Cheese Dip and Salsa (with juice and without drink), Extra Cheesy Pizza (without drink), and Turkey and Cheddar with Crackers (without drink). Five of these varieties contained candy and five contained a sugary drink. In contrast, not one of the varieties approved for childdirected advertising appeared in more than threequarters of stores.

The healthier with smoothie and with fruit varieties of Lunchables also received less prominent placement on supermarket shelves. Overall, just $15 \%$ of the varieties of Lunchables stocked in supermarkets belonged to one of these healthier categories (see Figure 3). They were more likely to be placed on the top shelves (i.e., the least attractive position in the supermarket) and less likely to be placed on lower shelves at children's eye level. Without drink varieties were equally likely to be placed on the top and bottom shelves, while with juice varieties were placed on the middle shelf most frequently, at adults' eye level. UPLOADED varieties also were more likely to be placed on the prime middle shelves, and snack duos appeared most often on bottom shelves. Overall, $28 \%$ of varieties that met nutrition standards for foods advertised to children were placed on the highest shelves, compared with $18 \%$ of the nutrient-poor varieties. In contrast, $24 \%$ of the healthier varieties appeared on the bottom shelf at children's eye levels, compared with $36 \%$ of the unhealthy varieties.

FIGURE 3. PLACEMENT OF LUNCHABLES VARIETIES BY CATEGORY ON SUPERMARKET SHELVES


[^2]Source: Audit of 50 supermarkets (June 2013)

## Kraft Foods appears to have

 complied with its CFBAI pledge to include only varieties of Lunchables that met nutrition standards in its child-direct advertising. However, we identified several marketing practices that raise questions about the company's intent to encourage children to select the healthier varieties.This report identifies several specific marketing practices that raise concerns:

- Lunchables with juice (as described on Lunchables. com) was the most common category, but these varieties did not contain $100 \%$ juice. They all included fruit drinks or flavored waters with added sugar and $10 \%$ or less juice content. The flavored waters also contained artificial sweeteners. These products were more likely to be placed on the middle shelves in the supermarket, at parents' eye level, and may be targeted to parents who are looking for apparently healthier choices.
- Healthier Lunchables varieties that met nutrition standards for advertising to children were more likely to be stocked on the upper shelves, above eye level for both children and adults. They also were less likely to be stocked on the bottom shelves at children's eye level. In contrast, less nutritious varieties appeared most often on prime middle shelves and lower shelves where children would see them.
- In 2013, Kraft Foods introduced UPLOADED Lunchables that are higher in calories, sodium, and saturated fat than other varieties. At the time of our analysis, the Lunchables.com home page also included a link to the Get UPLOADED section of the website featuring these less nutritious varieties. Therefore, when children under 12 visited Lunchables. com, they also could easily access the Get UPLOADED section. Of note, Get UPLOADED is now a separate website (LunchablesUPLD.com) and is no longer linked to Lunchables.com.
- One variety of Lunchables (Peanut Butter and Jelly Flatbread with fruit) was advertised to children on TV during the first half of 2012. However, the product was reformulated in June and removed from the approved product list. The company stopped advertising this variety, but it is likely that residual effects of the advertising on children's preferences for the product remain. Of note, in August 2012 CARU asked Kraft Foods to revise this advertising because it did not depict the product in the context of a balanced meal. ${ }^{18}$ The product was recently reformulated again and the $100 \%$ juice was replaced by a flavored water sugary drink. ${ }^{19}$
- One-fifth of Lunchables TV ads viewed by children featured a sweepstakes and did not focus primarily on the product. Sweepstakes also were featured on the For Kids section of Lunchables.com and in display ads on youth websites. These ads appear to contradict CARU requirements that child-directed advertising focus primarily on the product being sold (i.e., the Lunchables product). In fact, one of these sweepstakes was cited by CARU for not adequately disclosing the prizes offered and chances of winning. ${ }^{20}$ Legal experts also argue that sweepstakes offers in general exploit children as they do not understand available options to enter without purchasing the product or their true odds of winning. ${ }^{21}$
- Through the CFBAI, Kraft has pledged to not advertise any products to children under 6. However, preschoolers (2-5 years) saw an average of 40 TV ads for Lunchables in 2012, 10 more ads than teens saw.


## RECOMMENDATIONS

Through its participation in the CFBAI, Kraft Foods has expressed a commitment to advertise only healthier dietary choices to children. However, it cannot make such a commitment and continue to aggressively market the Lunchables brand to children when just 5 out of 42 products meet the company's own nutrition standards for healthier products that can be advertised to children.

If Kraft Foods truly wants to be part of the solution to childhood obesity, it should reformulate all varieties of Lunchables to meet the new CFBAI category-specific uniform nutrition standards for foods advertised to children.

If the company continues to offer Lunchables varieties that do not meet CFBAI nutrition standards for foods that can be advertised to children, Kraft should:

- Stop advertising Lunchables directly to children, including on TV and the internet; and
- Use in-store marketing to increase the presence and visibility of the healthiest varieties, and stop allocating the most prominent placement to varieties that include sugary drinks, candy, and high levels of saturated fat and sodium.

Kraft Foods has positioned itself as a leader in product choices and marketing practices that encourage healthy lifestyles and make it easier for families to eat and live better. 23 The company should reformulate its Lunchables products and reform marketing targeted to children to reflect that promise.

## Through publicly available data,

 we used a variety of data sources and methods to document and evaluate the nutritional content and marketing practices of Kraft Foods Lunchables.
## LUNCHABLES PRODUCT CATEGORIES AND AVAILABLE VARIETIES

We obtained information about available varieties of Lunchables on Lunchables.com Parent Site in April 2013. The site listed six categories of Lunchables totaling 42 different varieties (see Table A1). Table B1 presents the name, product category, and specific items in all 42 available varieties.

We used Kraft Foods' Product Information spreadsheets posted on its pledge page on the Children's Food and Beverage Advertising Initiative (CFBAI) website to identify the varieties of Lunchables that met Kraft Foods' nutrition standards for foods that could be advertised to children. ${ }^{22}$ To identify products that were approved to be advertised to children in 2012, the time period examined in the advertising analysis, we obtained copies of spreadsheets listing CFBAI-approved Lunchables products in January 2012, ${ }^{23}$ June 2012, ${ }^{24}$ and December 2012. ${ }^{25}$

## NUTRITIONAL QUALITY

To evaluate the nutritional quality of each variety of Lunchables, we obtained nutrition information from Lunchables.com in April 2013. The following nutrition information was collected for each variety: total calories (kcal), saturated fat ( g ), total fat ( g ), sodium ( mg ), and total sugar (g). Additionally, the site reported each product's "nutritional highlights," which indicated whether it contained a serving of fruit, vegetables, or whole grain. We then calculated the percent of calories from saturated fat and total sugar. Added sugar was estimated by obtaining the sugar content of branded fruits, $100 \%$ fruit juice, and cheese products contained in the package from the Kraft Foods website, and subtracting these naturally occurring sugars from reported total sugar.

For the nutritional analyses, we separated the Lunchables without drink varieties into those that contained cookies versus those that did not. For each Lunchables category, we calculated the range and medians for the following measures: total calories (kcal), sodium (mg), estimated added $\operatorname{sugar}(\mathrm{g})$, total fat ( g ), and saturated fat ( g ).

We also calculated the percent of varieties in each category that met: 1) Kraft Foods' CFBAI standards for foods that could be in child-directed advertising in 2012 and 2013 (i.e., Smart Choices criteria) ${ }^{26}$ and 2) the CFBAI category-specific uniform nutrition criteria (to be implemented by 2014). ${ }^{27}$ Both standards apply different criteria to different types of food products, such as meals, small meals, and snacks. Table A1 presents the nutrition standards that were applied to varieties in each category and the requirements for each of these standards.

## Appendix A. Methods

## TABLE A1. NUTRITION STANDARDS

| Nutrition standards | Lunchables category | Calories (kcal) | Total fat (\% kcal) | Sat fat | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Trans } \\ \text { fat }(\mathrm{g}) \end{array}$ | Chol <br> (mg) | Sugar | Sodium (mg) | Nutrient components to encourage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kraft 2012/2013 CFBAI standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entrées, sandwiches, and main dishes | With smoothie, with fruit, with juice, without drink and UPLOADED | $\leq 450$ | $\leq 35$ | $\leq 10 \% \mathrm{kcal}$ | 0 | $\leq 90$ | $\begin{array}{r} \leq 25 \% \mathrm{kcal} \\ \quad \text { (added) } \end{array}$ | $\leq 600$ | $\geq 1$ serving of food group, $\mathrm{OR} \geq$ $10 \%$ daily value of 1 nutrient |
| Snack foods and sweets | Snack duos | $\leq 160$ | $\leq 35$ | < $10 \% \mathrm{kcal}$ | 0 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \leq 25 \% \mathrm{kcal} \\ \quad \text { (added) } \end{array}$ | $\leq 240$ | $\geq 10 \%$ DV of vitamin A, C, E, calcium, potassium, fiber, or magnesium OR $1 / 2$ serving of fruit, vegetables, whole grains, or fat-free/low-fat milk products |
| CFBAI category-specific uniform nutrition criteria (2014) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small meals | Without drink | $\leq 600$ |  | $\leq 10 \% \mathrm{kcal}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} \leq 17 \mathrm{~g} \\ \text { (total) } \\ \text { or } 12 \end{array}$ | $\leq 290$ |  | $\geq 1 \frac{1}{2}$ servings of food groups, OR 1 serving of food group and |
| Meals | With smoothie, with fruit, with juice and UPLOADED | $\leq 600$ |  | $\leq 10 \% \mathrm{kcal}$ | 0 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \leq 20 \mathrm{~g} \\ \text { (total) or } \\ 15 \mathrm{~g} \text { * } \end{array}$ | $\leq 740$ | $\geq 2$ servings of food groups, OR $11 / 2$ serving of food group and $\geq$ $10 \%$ daily value of 3 nutrients |
| Other: 150 calories or less | Snack duos | $\leq 150$ |  | $\leq 1.5 \mathrm{~g}$ | 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \leq 10 \mathrm{~g} \\ & \text { (total) } \end{aligned}$ | $\leq 290$ | $\geq 2$ servings of food groups, OR $11 / 2$ serving of food group and $\geq$ $10 \%$ daily value of 3 nutrients |
| Other: 150-200 calories | Snack duos | 150-200 |  | $\leq 2 \mathrm{~g}$ | 0 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \leq 12 \mathrm{~g} \\ \text { (total) } \end{array}$ | $\leq 360$ | $\geq 2$ servings of food groups, OR $11 / 2$ serving of food group and $\geq$ $10 \%$ daily value of 3 nutrients |
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Additionally, we evaluated the percent of varieties in each category meeting 2012/2013 CFBAI standards for individual measures: total calories, total sodium, percent of calories from added sugar, and percent of calories from saturated fat.

## ADVERTISING SPENDING

Nielsen tracks total spending in 18 different media including TV, internet, radio, magazine, newspaper, free standing insert (FSI) coupons, and outdoor advertising. We licensed these data for 2012 to obtain advertising spending by Kraft Foods on the Lunchables brand for that year. We report total media spending and spending on TV advertising, internet, and print (magazine, newspaper, and FSI coupons).

## TV ADVERTISING EXPOSURE AND CONTENT

Nielsen gross rating points (GRP) data provided exposure to television advertising for Lunchables products by age group. GRPs are a per capita measure of ads viewed by a specific demographic group over a period of time.
They are calculated as the sum of advertising exposures for all individuals within the demographic group, divided by population size, and multiplied by 100 . We divided GRPs by 100 to obtain the average number of ads viewed by individuals in each age group. GRPs were licensed from Nielsen for preschoolers (2-5 years), children (6-11 years), teens (12-17 years), and adults (25-49 years) from January through December 2012.

These data also allowed us to measure relative exposure to advertising for preschoolers, children and teens versus adults. We report the following targeted ratios for 2012:

- Preschool child-to-adult targeted ratio = ads viewed by preschoolers (2-5 years) / ads by adults (25-49 years)
- Child-to-adult targeted ratio = ads viewed by children (6-11 years) / ads viewed by adults (25-49 years)
- Teen-to-adult targeted ratio = total ads viewed by teens
(12-17 years) / total ads viewed by adults (25-49 years)
Nielsen also provided data to identify the specific variety of Lunchables product shown in each advertisement, which allowed us to report the number of ads viewed and targeted ratio for each variety, including ads that did not refer to any specific varieties. In addition, Nielsen provided digital copies of all ads. A researcher viewed the ads and coded 1) the specific varieties of Lunchables products shown in each ad, and 2) any sweepstakes promoted in the ads.


## WEBSITE EXPOSURE

We identified the main website for the Lunchables brand (Lunchables. com). In 2012, the site linked to two secondary sites: one designed for children (Kid Site) and one aimed at parents (Parent Site). We obtained data on visits to the Lunchables website in 2012 from comScore's Media Metrix Key Measures Report. ${ }^{28}$ The company captures the internet behavior of a representative panel of about 350,000 users in the United States. ${ }^{29}$ It is the nation's largest existing internet audience measurement panel. comScore uses these panel data to extrapolate its findings to the total U.S. population and provides data on visits by each individual, including both children and adults living in the same household.

We report the following measures for visits to Lunchables.com from January through December 2012:

- Average unique visitors per month for children (2-11 years), teens (12-17 years), and adults (18 years and older). This measure was calculated by adding average total unique visitors per month (reported quarterly by comScore) for each age group divided by four (for four quarters).
- Average pages per month (i.e., the average number of pages on the site visited by each visitor per month) and average minutes per visit (i.e., the average amount of time each visitor spent on the site per month) for each unique visitor. comScore reports these numbers quarterly. Averages were computed for the year.
- Targeted indices were calculated for children, teens and adults. We first calculated the percent of visitors from each age group (2-11 years, $12-17$ years, and 18 years and older) visiting the Lunchables website by
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averaging the number of monthly unique visitors to the site for that age group and dividing that number by the average monthly unique visitors to the total internet during the four quarters of 2012 for the same age group. Targeted indices were then calculated by dividing the percent of total internet visitors for each age group (2-11 years, 12-17 years, and 18 years and older) who visited Lunchables.com by the percent of all visitors (age 2 years and older) to the total internet who visited the same website. This number was then multiplied by 100. Targeted indices greater than 100 signify that the demographic group was overrepresented on the website in relation to the comparison group; and targeted indices less than 100 signify that it was underrepresented.

We also examined content on the Lunchables.com website as of April 2013, including For Kids, For Parents and Get Uploaded sections of the site. These sites were examined for marketing techniques that appeal to children, including child-directed sweepstakes, celebrity endorsements, and other child-friendly engagement devices.

## DISPLAY ADVERTISING

Display advertisements are purchased by companies to promote their products on other companies' websites. These advertisements, which are usually displayed along the border of a webpage, often invoke attention-grabbing Flash animation. They typically feature a particular product or a special promotion or sweepstakes. An effective display ad is one that induces a large proportion of viewers to click the ad and consequently be redirected to the company's website.

Ad Metrix, another comScore product, tracks advertisements that are completely downloaded and viewable on a user's web browser through its panel of internet users. Ad Metrix, therefore, measures individual exposure to display ads presented in rich media (SWF files) and traditional image-based ads (JPEG and GIF files), as well as sponsored ads on Facebook. It does not report on search-based text ads, video, or
html-based ads. Ad Metrix also identifies the third-party (publisher) website on which the advertisement was viewed and the company sponsoring the advertisement. In addition, Ad Metrix captures copies of the actual ads.

Data for exposure to Lunchables' display ads were obtained from the comScore Ad Metrix Advertiser Report for January to December 2012. ${ }^{30}$ comScore provides display ad impressions, or the number of advertisements fully downloaded and viewed on publisher websites, for each month. Using comScore's Media Metrix report to obtain unique visitors to the websites where the ads appeared, we identified websites that were disproportionately targeted to youth. A youth website was defined as one with a greater percent of 2- to 17-year-old visitors compared with the total percent of 2- to 17-year-olds on the internet during 2012. Ad Metrix does not report demographic information about the individuals who viewed advertisements. Therefore, we could not provide exposure for specific age groups.

## SUPERMARKET SHELF PLACEMENT

To examine in-store marketing practices, we conducted an audit of the Lunchables shelves in 50 supermarkets throughout the United States. Using Gigwalk mobile work marketplace, ${ }^{31}$ field personnel were hired to take pictures of the Lunchables display at a sample of 50 supermarkets located in 33 states throughout the country. The audit took place between May and June 2013. Field personnel were instructed to only visit supermarket chains for the audit. They received detailed instructions to take seven specific photographs of each Lunchables shelf display.

Coders then recorded the shelves where each variety of Lunchables was located (top, middle, bottom) according to the definitions in Table A2. The number of supermarkets that stocked each variety and the number stocking each variety on the bottom, middle, and/or top shelves were calculated. These numbers were totaled for each Lunchables category and the percent of varieties in each category placed on top, middle, and bottom shelves are reported.
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TABLE A2. DEFINITIONS OF TOP, MIDDLE AND BOTTOM SHELVES

| 3 Shelves | 4 Shelves | 5 Shelves | 6 Shelves | 7 Shelves |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Top | Top | Top | Top | Top |
| Middle | Middle | Middle | Top | Top |
| Bottom/cooler | Middle | Middle | Middle | Middle |
|  | Bottom/cooler | Bottom | Middle | Middle |
|  |  | Bottom/cooler | Bottom | Middle |
|  |  |  | Bottom/cooler | Bottom |
|  |  |  |  | Bottom/cooler |
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TABLE B2. VARIETIES STOCKED IN SUPERMARKETS BY SHELF LOCATION
table b1．nUtritional quality of individual lunchables varieties

TABLE B1. NUTRITIONAL QUALITY OF INDIVIDUAL LUNCHABLES VARIETIES (CONTINUED)

|  |  |  | CFBAI 2013 |  | CFBAI 2014 |  |  | Nutritional components |  |  |  | Calories | Saturated fat |  | Sodium | Total sugar |  | Added sugar estimate |  | Met nutrition standards |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variety name | Lunchables category | Product contents | Snack | Main dish/ meal | Snack (large) | Main dishes and entrees | Meal | Components to encourage | $\begin{array}{r} >10 \% \\ \text { daily value } \end{array}$ | Candy | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sugary } \\ \text { drink } \end{gathered}$ | (kcal) | (g) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { (\% } \\ \text { kcal) } \end{array}$ | (mg) | (g) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { (\% } \\ \text { kcal) } \end{array}$ | (g) | (\% kcal) | $\begin{gathered} \text { CFBAI } \\ 2012 / 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CFBAI } \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ |
| BBQ Chicken Shake-ups | With juice | 1 Oscar Mayer breaded chicken strips, barbeque seasoning, Capri-Sun Roarin' Waters, JELL-O fat free chocolate pudding snack |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | Calcium |  | $\checkmark$ | 230 | 1 | 3.9\% | 480 | 21 | 36.5\% | 21 | 36.5 |  |  |
| Chicken Dunks | With juice | Oscar Mayer breaded chicken, ketchup, Capri-Sun Fruit Punch, Wonka Nerds candy |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | None | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 290 | 1 | 3.1\% | 550 | 36 | 49.7\% | 36 | 49.7 |  |  |
| Extra Cheesy Pizza | With juice | 1 Kraft cheese blend, pizza crusts, pizza sauce, Capri-Sun Pacific Cooler, Airheads Candy |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | Calcium, Vitamin C | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 380 | 4.5 | 10.7\% | 620 | 27 | 28.4\% | 25 | 26.3 |  |  |
| Ham and American Cracker Stackers | With juice | 1 Oscar Mayer lean ham, Kraft american cheese, crackers, Capri-Sun Roarin Waters, Butterfinger |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | Calcium, Vitamin C | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 330 | 6 | 16.4\% | 560 | 21 | 25.5\% | 19 | 23.0 |  |  |
| Ham and Cheddar Lower Fat Cracker Stackers | With juice | 1 Oscar Mayer lean ham, Kraft reduced fat cheddar, crackers, Capri-Sun Fruit Punch, JELL-O fat free chocolate pudding snack |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | Calcium, Vitamin A, Vitamin C |  | $\checkmark$ | 310 | 3.5 | 10.2\% | 680 | 30 | 38.7\% | 28 | 36.1 |  |  |
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Appendix B
table b1．nUtritional quality of individual lunchables varieties（CONTINUED）

| Met nutrition standards | 푼 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 준둔 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{m}{2}}$ | กั่ | $\stackrel{\odot}{\odot}$ | $\stackrel{\odot}{\odot}$ | ก | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | 의 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\checkmark$ | m | m | m | m |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{4} \\ & \frac{1}{5} \\ & \stackrel{0}{6} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ஹ } \\ & \text { (í } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{ }}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{~}}$ | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}}{\mathrm{i}}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ®}}{0}$ |
|  | 으 | え | $\bullet$ | ๓ | － | m | ＾ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underline{E} \\ & \text { 흠 } \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ | 읕 | 은 | 웅 | \％ | 읏 | ¢ | 8 |
|  | ஃㄷ্ত్ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oे } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\substack{\circ \\ \hline}}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ஃे } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 으 | $\stackrel{\sim}{8}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\odot}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ | －n |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{2} \\ & \frac{\grave{0}}{\pi} \end{aligned}$ |  | 응 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { ¢ }}$ | \％ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 즐 } \\ & \text { 気 } \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ | $>$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 글 } \\ & \text { त्ण } \end{aligned}$ | $>$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\frac{E}{U}$ |  |  | $\frac{E \cdot}{\substack{0}}$ | ジ |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 은. } \\ & \stackrel{\pi}{0} \\ & \sim \\ & -\frac{1}{0} \\ & -\frac{0}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 은등 } \\ & \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{\pi}{0} \\ & -\frac{0}{0} \\ & -\frac{0}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 은등 } \\ & \text { 르N } \\ & \text { W } \\ & -\frac{0}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{3} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\widetilde{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\nu}{\Sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $>$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $>$ | $>$ | $>$ | $>$ | $>$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 产 } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | .들 듷 | $>$ | $>$ | $>$ | $>$ | $>$ | $>$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{\ddot{v}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{H}{亏} \\ & \frac{y}{y} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䓂 } \\ & \text { 旁 } \\ & \text { 咅 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 芌 } \\ & \text { o흘 } \\ & \text { 亲 } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 드응 N 흘 N |

TABLE B1. NUTRITIONAL QUALITY OF INDIVIDUAL LUNCHABLES VARIETIES (CONTINUED)

|  |  |  | CFBAI 2013 |  | CFBAI 2014 |  |  | Nutritional components |  |  |  | Calories <br> (kcal) | Saturated fat |  | Sodium | Total sugar |  | Added sugar estimate |  | Met nutrition standard |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variety name | Lunchables category | Product contents | Snack | Main dish/ meal | Snack (large) | Main dishes and entrees | Meal | Components to encourage | $\begin{array}{r} >10 \% \\ \text { daily value } \end{array}$ | Candy | Sugary drink |  | (g) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { (\% } \\ \text { kcal) } \end{array}$ | (mg) | (g) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { (\% } \\ \text { kcal) } \end{array}$ | (g) | (\% kcal) | $\begin{gathered} \text { CFBAI } \\ 2012 / 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CFBAI } \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ |
| Turkey and Cheddar With Crackers | Without drink | 4 Oscar Mayer lean turkey, Kraft cheddar, crackers |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | Calcium |  |  | 250 | 6 | 21.6\% | 650 | 5 | 8.0\% | 3 | 4.8 |  |  |
| Chicken Dunks | Without drink (with cookies) | 5 Oscar Mayer breaded chicken, ketchup, Double Stuf Oreo cookies |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | None |  |  | 290 | 3 | 9.3\% | 570 | 21 | 29.0\% | 21 | 29.0 |  |  |
| Ham and American Cracker Stackers | Without drink (with cookies) | 5 Oscar Mayer lean ham pieces, Kraft american cheese, crackers, Double Stuf Oreo cookies |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | Calcium, Vitamin C, Iron |  |  | 330 | 6 | 16.4\% | 600 | 17 | 20.6\% | 15 | 18.2 |  |  |
| Ham and Cheddar <br> Cracker <br> Stackers | Without drink (with cookies) | 5 Oscar Mayer lean ham pieces, Kraft cheddar, crackers, vanilla Crème Cookies |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | Calcium, Iron |  |  | 350 | 7 | 18.0\% | 630 | 13 | 14.9\% | 11 | 12.6 |  |  |
| Light <br> Bologna and <br> American <br> Cracker <br> Stackers | Without drink (with cookies) | 5 Oscar Mayer light bologna pieces, Kraft american cheese, crackers, Chips Ahoy! chocolate chip cookies |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | Calcium, Iron |  |  | 320 | 7 | 19.7\% | 560 | 11 | 13.8\% | 9 | 11.3 |  |  |

table b1. nUtritional quality of individual lunchables varieties (CONTINUED)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | CFBAI | 2013 |  | CFBAI 2014 |  | Nutris | ritional compo | nents |  | Calories | Satur | ted fat | Sodium | Total | ugar | Adde | d sugar imate | Met nutritio | standards |
| Variety name | Lunchables category | Product contents | Snack | Main dish/ meal | Snack (large) | Main dishes and entrees | Meal | Components to encourage | $>10 \%$ <br> daily value | Candy | Sugary drink | (kcal) | (g) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { (\% } \\ \text { kcal) } \end{array}$ | (mg) | (g) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { (\% } \\ \text { kcal) } \end{array}$ | (g) | (\% kcal) | $\begin{gathered} \text { CFBAI } \\ 2012 / 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CFBAI } \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ |
| Turkey and <br> American <br> Cracker <br> Stackers | Without <br> drink <br> (with <br> cookies) | 5 Oscar Mayer lean turkey pieces, Kraft american cheese, crackers, Double Stuf Oreo cookies |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | Calcium, Iron |  |  | 320 | 6 | 16.9\% | 570 | 17 | 21.3\% | 15 | 18.8 |  |  |
| 6-piece Chicken Dunks | UPLOADED | Oscar Mayer breaded chicken, Kraft ranch dipping sauce, Kraft BBQ sauce, Pringles Snack Stacker potato crisps, Hershey's Kisses, Koolaid Singles, water |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | Calcium, Vitamin C | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 400 | 5 | 11.3\% | 810 | 22 | 22.0\% | 22 | 22.0 |  |  |
| 6-inch <br> Ham and <br> American Sub <br> Sandwich | UPLOADED | Oscar Mayer lean ham, Kraft american cheese, Kraft fat-free mayo, sub bun, Pringles Snack Stacker potato crisps, Hershey's Kisses, Kool-Aid Singles, water |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 1 serving whole grain | Calcium, Vitamin C, Iron | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 450 | 7 | 14.0\% | 660 | 16 | 14.2\% | 14 | 12.4 |  |  |
| 6-inch <br> Turkey and <br> Ham Sub <br> Sandwich | UPLOADED | Oscar Mayer lean turkey, Oscar Mayer lean ham, Kraft american cheese, Kraft fat-free mayo, sandwich bread, Cheese-lt crackers, Rice Krispies Treat, Kool-Aid Singles, water |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 1 serving whole grain | Calcium, Vitamin C, Iron |  | $\checkmark$ | 500 | 5 | 9.0\% | 810 | 19 | 15.2\% | 17 | 13.6 |  |  |
| 6-inch Turkey and Cheddar Sub Sandwich | UPLOADED | Oscar Mayer lean turkey, Kraft cheddar, Kraft fat free mayo, sub bun, Pringles Snack Stacker potato crisps, Hershey's Kisses, Kool-Aid Singles, water |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 1 serving whole grain | Calcium, Vitamin C, Iron | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 440 | 7 | 14.3\% | 660 | 16 | 14.5\% | 14 | 12.7 |  |  |

TABLE B1. NUTRITIONAL QUALITY OF INDIVIDUAL LUNCHABLES VARIETIES (CONTINUED)

|  |  |  |  |  | andard | d |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | CFBAI | 2013 |  | CFBAI 2014 |  | Nutr | itional compo | nents |  | Calories | Satur | ted fat | Sodium | Total | sugar | Adde est | d sugar mate | Met nutritio | standards |
| Variety name | Lunchables category | Product contents | Snack | Main dish/ meal | Snack (large) | Main dishes and entrees | Meal | Components to encourage | $\begin{array}{r} >10 \% \\ \text { daily value } \end{array}$ | Candy | Sugary drink | (kcal) | (g) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { (\% } \\ \text { kcal) } \end{array}$ | (mg) | (g) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { (\% } \\ \text { kcal) } \end{array}$ | (g) | (\% kcal) | $\begin{gathered} \text { CFBAI } \\ 2012 / 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CFBAI } \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ |
| Chicken Soft Tacos | UPLOADED | Oscar Mayer chicken, Kraft cheese blend, soft tortillas, salsa, Cheese-It crackers, Oreo cookies, water, Kool-Aid singles |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | Calcium, Vitamin C Iron |  | $\checkmark$ | 460 | 6 | 11.7\% | 880 | 24 | 20.9\% | 22 | 19.1 |  |  |
| Nachos Grande Cheese Dip and Salsa | UPLOADED | Kraft nacho cheese, Kraft salsa, tortilla chips, water, Kool-Aid singles, Betty Crocker Fruit by the Foot |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | Calcium, Vitamin C |  | $\checkmark$ | 360 | 3.5 | 8.8\% | 650 | 13 | 14.4\% | 13 | 14.4 |  |  |
| Ultimate Deep Dish Pepperoni Pizza | UPLOADED | Pizza (deep dish pizza crust, pizza sauce, Kraft cheese blend, pepperoni, Cheese-It crackers, Betty Crocker Fruit Roll-Ups, Koolaid singles, water |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 1 serving whole grain | Calcium Vitamin C Iron |  | $\checkmark$ | 400 | 5 | 11.3\% | 750 | 17 | 17.0\% | 17 | 17.0 |  |  |
| American and Oreos Cookies | Snack duos | 3 Kraft american cheese, Mini Ritz crackers, Mini Oreo cookies | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | Calcium |  |  | 210 | 4.5 | 19.3\% | 310 | 10 | 19.0\% | 8 | 15.2 |  |  |
| Ham and Cheddar | Snack duos | 3 Oscar Mayer lean ham pieces, Kraft cheddar, Mini Ritz crackers | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | Calcium, Vitamin C |  |  | 150 | 4 | 24.0\% | 380 | 3 | 8.0\% | 1 | 2.7 |  |  |
| Mozzarella and Chips Ahoy! | Snack duos | 3 Kraft mozzarella, Mini Ritz crackers, Mini Chips Ahoy! cookies | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | Calcium |  |  | 210 | 4 | 17.1\% | 320 | 7 | 13.3\% | 5 | 9.5 |  |  |

－Appendix B
table b1．NUTRITIONAL QUALITY OF INDIVIDUAL LUNCHABLES VARIETIES（CONTINUED）
Standard applied

|  | ভ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\text { IN }} \\ & \text { 嵒 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | ¢ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | 웅 |
|  | 응 | $\stackrel{\sim}{m}$ | $\infty$ | － |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | ત్ర |
|  | 으 | ¢ | $\infty$ | $\sim$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 틈 } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | 읕 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | 융 | 8 |
|  | Oㅇ్ర్త్ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | 응 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ஹ } \\ & \text { ¿ } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 으 | m | $\sim$ | m |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underline{y} \\ & \frac{0}{\pi} \end{aligned}$ |  | 앳 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | 안 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 들 } \\ & \text { 気 } \\ & \text { 気 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { त्ते } \\ & \text { त্ত̃ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\sim}$ | $\frac{\sqrt{\frac{E}{6}}}{\text { U }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\underset{U}{U}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\sigma} \\ & \stackrel{\Sigma}{\Sigma} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $>$ | $>$ | $>$ |
| $\stackrel{m}{\sim}$N药 | $\dot{\sim}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\ddot{\omega}} \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ | $>$ | $>$ | $>$ |
|  | n 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { u} \\ & \text { 气 } \\ & \text { u } \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { u} \\ & \text { 言 } \\ & \text { ㄷ } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

table b2. VARIETIES StOCKED IN SUPERMARKETS bY SHELF LOCATION



| $\ddot{y}$ 0 4 4 0 0 | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{\sim}}$ | iे | oे | $\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{¿}}$ | ిం | ஃ- | ○○ | ஸे | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\sim}$ | oి | ింం | $\stackrel{\text { ®- }}{\circ}$ | oి | ঞু |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\ddagger}$ | 응 | oㅇ | ঃ๐ | ০০ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\infty}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ù } \\ & 0 . \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & \# \end{aligned}$ | n | ¢ | $\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | へ | - | ¢ | ¢ | ¢ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | ษ | $\ddagger$ | ¢ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | ~ | - | ㅇ | m | $\stackrel{ \pm}{\sim}$ | ษ |
|  | $>$ | $>$ | $>$ | $>$ | $>$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | With fruit With fruit With fruit With juice With juice With juice With juice With juice With juice With juice With juice With juice

 With juice With juice Without drink Without drink Without drink Without drink Ham and Cheddar Lower Fat Cracker Stackers Light Bologna and American Cracker Combos Nacho Cheese Dip and Salsa Pizza with Pepperoni Turkey and American Cracker Stackers Turkey and Cheddar Cracker Stackers Turkey and Mozzarella Cracker Stackers Extra Cheesy Pizza
Ham and Cheddar With Crackers Ham and Swiss With Crackers Nachos, Cheese Dip and Salsa
table b2. VArieties stocked in supermarkets by shelf location (CONTINUED)

| Variety name | Lunchables category | CFBAI-approved | Stores stocking variety |  | Stores with variety stocked on: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Bottom shelves |  | Middle shelves |  | Top shelves |  |
|  |  |  | \# of stores | \% of stores | \# of stores | \% of variety | \# of stores | \% of variety | \# of stores | \% of variety |
| Pizza with Pepperoni | Without drink |  | 42 | 84\% | 21 | 46\% | 16 | 35\% | 9 | 20\% |
| Turkey and Cheddar With Crackers | Without drink |  | 40 | 80\% | 17 | 39\% | 10 | 23\% | 17 | 39\% |
| Chicken Dunks | Without drink (with cookies) |  | 35 | 70\% | 15 | 39\% | 8 | 21\% | 15 | 39\% |
| Ham and American Cracker Stackers | Without drink (with cookies) |  | 22 | 44\% | 13 | 57\% | 5 | 22\% | 5 | 22\% |
| Ham and Cheddar Cracker Stackers | Without drink (with cookies) |  | 17 | 34\% | 9 | 56\% | 2 | 13\% | 5 | 31\% |
| Light Bologna and American Cracker Stackers | Without drink (with cookies) |  | 36 | 72\% | 18 | 51\% | 7 | 20\% | 10 | 29\% |
| Turkey and American Cracker Stackers | Without drink (with cookies) |  | 44 | 88\% | 25 | 52\% | 10 | 21\% | 13 | 27\% |
| 6-piece Chicken Dunks | UPLOADED |  | 35 | 70\% | 12 | 34\% | 22 | 63\% | 1 | 3\% |
| 6-inch Ham and American Sub Sandwich | UPLOADED |  | 32 | 64\% | 8 | 23\% | 23 | 66\% | 4 | 11\% |
| 6-inch Turkey anad Ham Sub Sandwich | UPLOADED |  | 14 | 28\% | 10 | 42\% | 12 | 50\% | 2 | 8\% |
| 6-inch Turkey and Cheddar Sub Sandwich | UPLOADED |  | 32 | 64\% | 13 | 41\% | 15 | 47\% | 4 | 13\% |
| Chicken Soft Tacos | UPLOADED |  | 36 | 72\% | 14 | 38\% | 20 | 54\% | 3 | 8\% |
| Nachos Grande Cheese Dip and Salsa | UPLOADED |  | 33 | 66\% | 10 | 29\% | 23 | 66\% | 2 | 6\% |
| Ultimate Deep Dish Pepperoni Pizza | UPLOADED |  | 41 | 82\% | 14 | 32\% | 26 | 59\% | 4 | 9\% |
| American and Oreos Cookies | Snack duos |  | 16 | 32\% | 8 | 50\% | 7 | 44\% | 1 | 6\% |
| Ham and Cheddar | Snack duos |  | 28 | 56\% | 12 | 43\% | 7 | 25\% | 9 | 32\% |
| Mozzarella and Chips Ahoy! | Snack duos |  | 12 | 24\% | 3 | 23\% | 7 | 54\% | 3 | 23\% |
| Smores Dippers | Snack duos |  | 12 | 24\% | 2 | 17\% | 6 | 50\% | 4 | 33\% |
| Tortilla Chips, Salsa and Mini Oreo Cookies | Snack duos |  | 21 | 42\% | 16 | 70\% | 6 | 26\% | 1 | 4\% |
| Turkey and Mozzarella | Snack duos |  | 31 | 62\% | 14 | 44\% | 11 | 34\% | 7 | 22\% |
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[^0]:    *Includes 'Peanut Butter and Jelly Flatbread with fruit' variety which contained applesauce and $100 \%$ juice, but was not approved for child-directed advertising
    **All varieties in this category contained a Capri Sun beverage with $0 \%-10 \%$ juice
    Source: Lunchables.com (April 2013) and Kraft Foods CFBAI pledge (April 2013)

[^1]:    Description on Lunchables.com

[^2]:    *Shelf where the category appeared most often

[^3]:    *Sugars from one qualifying milk product, fruit, or $100 \%$ fruit/vegetable juice are not counted in the limit. If there are two qualifying items, the total sugar limits are reduced

[^4]:    ale RUDD CENTER

